UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/631,108	07/31/2003	Richard M. Mathis	4ACE-01000US0	7759	
23910 FLIFSLER ME	23910 7590 11/20/2007 FLIESLER MEYER LLP			EXAMINER	
650 CALIFORNIA STREET 14TH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94108			LANEAU, RONALD		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		3714		
•	,			201111111111111111111111111111111111111	
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/20/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

6) Other: _

Notice of Informal Patent Application

·Application/Control Number:

10/631,108 Art Unit: 3714

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 14-18, 20-23, 25, and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wells (US 2002/0115487 A1).

As per claims 14-18, Wells disclose a progressive gaming system comprising: a first gaming device having an input device, a display and a controller, wherein the first gaming device is configured to receive a wager from a first player to play a progressive type game (see fig. 1, 22); and a second gaming device having an input device, a display and a controller, the second gaming device being communicably coupled to the first gaming device, wherein the second gaming device is configured to receive a wager from a second player to play the progressive type game (see fig. 1, 22), wherein the first and second gaming devices exchange (through a network seen in fig. 1), with each other, information associated with the amount of wagers placed by the first and the second player on each respective gaming device by exchanging an electronic data packet of a predetermined format (see fig. 1), in order to determine the total jackpot amount to be won, wherein determination of whether the first player has won, and the total jackpot amount to

10/631,108

Art Unit: 3714

be paid to the first player is performed by the controller of the first gaming device (page 1, [0005]; total jackpot is transmitted to each gaming machine and may be displayed to a player in each gaming machine), and wherein determination of whether the second player has won and the total jackpot amount to be paid to the second player, is performed independently of the first gaming device, by the controller of the second gaming device (page 1, [0005]; total jackpot is transmitted to each gaming machine and may be displayed to a player in each gaming machine).

Wells does not explicitly disclose a system wherein determination of whether the first player has won, and the total jackpot amount to be paid to the first player is performed by the controller of the first gaming device, and wherein determination of whether the second player has won and the total jackpot amount to be paid to the second player, is performed independently of the first gaming device, by the controller of the second gaming device. Wells discloses a system wherein gaming devices are connected through a network and are very capable of exchanging information/data with each other thus determining the player who wins and the jackpot amount to be paid as claimed.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize upgrade the software program as taught by Wells to have individual gaming devices exchanging information about the wagers and determining the winning player and the total amount instead of a gateway because it would eliminate the need for a communication transfer between the gateway and the gaming device therefore shorten the amount of time that the player has to wait to determine winning amount.

As per claims 15-17 and 25, The system of Wells is inherently capable of comprising a first and a second precise time base, each configured to measure time of occurrence of events on

·Application/Control Number:

10/631,108

Art Unit: 3714

the first and second gaming devices, respectively, with a resolution of picoseconds or a resolution of 10⁻¹⁵; wherein the first gaming device is capable of initiating a secondary bonus game independent of the second gaming device and the second gaming device is capable of initiating a secondary bonus game independent of the first gaming device; and wherein the first gaming device and the second gaming device communicate on a peer-to-peer basis as claimed (all gaming machines provide time measurement, bonus game and peer-to-peer communication).

As per claims 20 and 21, Wells inherently discloses a method further comprising: upon determining that the first player has won, awarding a portion of the jackpot indicia to the first player; resetting all of the gaming devices; and awarding a remainder of the jackpot indicia to the first player pay when all gaming devices are confirmed as being reset; further comprising awarding a portion of the remainder of the jackpot indicia as the gaming devices are being reset but not yet confirmed as reset (most of the gaming machines pay a portion of the award after winning and pay the rest of the award after all machines have been reset).

As per claim 22, Wells discloses a system wherein the secondary game initiated by the first gaming device has no dependency upon an outcome of the progressive type game (see fig. 1).

As per claim 23, Well discloses a system of claim 16 further comprising a first secondary game device communicably coupled to the first gaming device for initiating the secondary bonus game, wherein the first secondary game device further comprises a display device, a random number generator, and a unit for calculating a value to determine a game outcome based upon use of generated values of said random number generator in a predetermined formula (see fig. 1).

Application/Control Number:

10/631,108

Art Unit: 3714

As per claim 26, Wells discloses a method further comprising exchanging, between the

first and second gaming devices, a total amount available for pay to the first and the second

player, prior to determining the total jackpot amount to be paid according to predetermined

parameters (page 1, [0005]; total jackpot is transmitted to each gaming machine and may be

displayed to a player in each gaming machine according to parameters as claimed).

4. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wells (US

2002/0115487 A1) in view of Lockton (US 5,083,800).

As per claim 19, Wells does not disclose broadcasting and updating but Lockton

discloses a method comprising: broadcasting a parameter block of the predetermined format to

all gaming when conditions change at any gaming device, updating said parameter block

according to the change in condition at said gaming device; and broadcasting an updated

parameter block from the gaming device to other gaming devices communicably coupled thereto

to update operational parameters at each gaming device based upon the updated parameter block

received (see abstract).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention

was made to utilize the broadcasting and updating means as taught by Lockton into the method

of Wells because it would provide an improved game of skill or chance playable by

simultaneously by several by several participants remote from each other.

Application/Control Number:

10/631,108

Art Unit: 3714

Page 6

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claim 24 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be

allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and

any intervening claims.

None of the references, either singularly or in combination, discloses or even suggests:

As per claim 24, The system of claim 16 further comprising: a unit for choosing a

random number in accordance with a predetermined selection process and mapping said random

number to a symbol in accordance with a predetermined mapping process if the number of game

plays of maximum bet in a play sequence is less than a predetermined maximum; and a display

device for displaying a generic icon as a placeholder to allow progress of the secondary bonus

game to be ascertained.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 14-26 have been considered but are moot in

view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Ronald Laneau whose telephone number is (571) 272-6784. The

examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 - 3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Robert Pezzuto can be reached on (571) 272-6996. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

·Application/Control Number:

10/631,108

Art Unit: 3714

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Ronald Laneau Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3714

11/17/07

rl